

Reviewing Agencies Checklist

continued

Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribution by marking agencies below with and "X". If you have already sent your document to the agency please denote that with an "S".

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Resources Board | <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Emergency Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Boating & Waterways, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Historic Preservation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> California Highway Patrol | <input type="checkbox"/> Parks & Recreation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Caltrans District # <u>4</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide Regulation, Department of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Division of Aeronautics | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utilities Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Reclamation Board |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coachella Valley Mountains Conservancy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional WQCB # <u>2</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission | <input type="checkbox"/> Resources Agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Colorado River Board Commission | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> San Gabriel & Lower Los Angeles Rivers & Mountains Conservancy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Conservancy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta Protection Commission | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Education, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> State Lands Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Public School Construction | <input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Clean Water Grants |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Energy Commission | <input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Quality |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish & Game Region # <u>3</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Rights |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food & Agriculture, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> Tahoe Regional Planning Agency |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Forestry & Fire Protection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toxic Substances Control, Department of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> General Services, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Resources, Department of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Services, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing & Community Development | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated Waste Management Board | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Native American Heritage Commission | |

Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency)

Starting Date _____

Ending Date _____

Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):

Consulting Firm: AMEC Earth & Environmental
 Address: Port of Redwood City
 City/State/Zip: 675 Seaport Boulevard
 Contact: Redwood City, CA 94063
 Phone: (640) 306-4150

Applicant: Eagle Rock Aggregates, Inc.
 Address: 325 Birch Hollow Court
 City/State/Zip: Roswell, GA
 Phone: (770) 518-5542

Signature of Lead Agency Representative



Date: 03-07-07

least three days notice prior to commencement of pile-driving activities, which are expected to be completed within one month. Site preparation and construction activities would be carried out consistent with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and CalOSHA regulations and local requirements to provide for worker and public safety. The proposed project shall also comply with the Uniform Building Code (UBC) Volume 2, Chapter 16, Divisions IV and V, and the Redwood City Building Codes. Additional design recommendations would be derived from the geotechnical study prepared for this site. In order to reduce short-term construction emissions, Basic Control Measures recommended by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) would be implemented. The BAAQMD would review and approve site-specific control measures as part of the permit application review prior to construction. Project construction is expected to last approximately 8 to 10 months. Pending regulatory review and approvals, construction is estimated to commence in 2007, with project operations beginning in 2008.

Findings of No Significant Effect on the Environment:

The Initial Study reviewed impacts to the environment and local resources related to the proposed Eagle Rock Receiving, Storage and Distribution Terminal. The Port has determined that this project, as proposed, will not have a potential significant effect on the environment when the mitigation controls incorporated into the project description are implemented. A copy of the Initial Study that supports this finding is attached or available for review at Port of Redwood City Administration Building, 675 Seaport Boulevard, Redwood City, CA 94063, or on their website under "News and Events," "Reports and Studies" at <http://www.redwoodcityport.com>.

Mitigation Measures:

The Port has determined that the project does require one additional mitigation measure:

MITIGATION MEASURES AND MONITORING

Mitigation Measure 1: Air Quality

Estimated emissions for the project at maximum buildout exceed BAAQMD CEQA thresholds for NOX (daily and annual). Mitigation measures will be applied to the project to reduce the estimated emissions to levels below the significance thresholds, based on the District's review and analysis of the project. Mitigation measures may include restrictions on the number of vessel trips, emission offsets, or other measures provided for in the BAAQMD rules and determined by the District.

The mitigation measures will be incorporated into the terms of the permit (ATC and PTO). The District is responsible for monitoring and enforcing the air quality permit conditions.

The Eagle Rock project and associated site plans contain conditions and measures sufficient to prevent potential significant environmental impacts to other resource areas.



Port Executive Director Signature



Date

Michael Giari

Port Executive Director Name

Port Executive Director

Title

(650) 306-4150

Phone #

Environmental Initial Study
EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
 March 2007

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology / Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation / Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance | |

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Michael Giari
 Signature

03-07-07
 Date

Michael Giari
 Printed Name

Port of Redwood City
 For